

MEHTA HOUSING FINANCE LIMITED
WHISTLE BLOWER POLICY/VIGIL MECHANISM

1. Preface:

Mehta Housing Finance Limited (herein after referred as “the Company”) being listed Company requires to establish a vigil mechanism for directors and employees to report the genuine concerns as per provisions of Section 177 of Companies Act, 2013 in such manner as may be prescribed.

As per new requirement of SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015, (referred as LODR, 2015). The Company has been exempted from 1st December, 2015 due to not satisfying the criteria of Regulation 15(2) of LODR, 2015 and this leads to get exemption under Regulation 22 of LODR, 2015 which is titled as Vigil Mechanism.

Consequently, the Company has formed Vigil Mechanism as per section 177 of Companies Act, 2013 and not as per SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015.

The Company believes in the conduct of the affairs of its constituents in a fair and transparent manner by adopting highest standards of professionalism, honesty, integrity and ethical behavior. The Company has adopted Code of Conduct for directors and senior management, which lays down the principles and standards that should govern the actions of the company and its employees. Any actual or potential violation of the Code, howsoever insignificant or perceived as such, would be a matter of serious concern for the Company.

Accordingly, this Whistle Blower Policy (“the Policy”) has been formulated with a view to provide a mechanism for employees of the Company to approach the Ethics Counselor / Chairman of the Audit Committee of the Company.

2. Definitions:

The definitions of some of the key terms used in this Policy are given below.

- a) “**Audit Committee**” means the Audit Committee constituted by the Board of Directors of the Company in accordance with Section 177 of the Companies Act, 2013.
- b) “**Board**” means the Board of Directors of the Company.
- c) “**Company**” means Mehta Housing Finance Limited and all its offices.
- d) “**Employee**” means every employee of the Company (whether working in India or abroad), including the Directors in the employment of the Company.

- e) **“Investigators”** mean those persons authorised, appointed, consulted or approached by the Ethics Counselor/Chairman of the Audit Committee and include the auditors of the Company and the police.
- f) **“Protected Disclosure”** means any communication made in good faith that discloses or demonstrates information that may evidence unethical or improper activity.
- g) **“Subject”** means a person against or in relation to whom a Protected Disclosure has been made or evidence gathered during the course of an investigation.
- h) **“Whistle Blower”** means an Employee making a Protected Disclosure under this Policy.

3. Scope:

- The Whistle Blower’s role is that of a reporting party with reliable information. They are not required or expected to act as investigators or finders of facts, nor would they determine the appropriate corrective or remedial action that may be warranted in a given case.
- Whistle Blowers should not act on their own in conducting any investigative activities, nor do they have a right to participate in any investigative activities other than as requested by the Ethics Counselor or the Chairman of the Audit Committee or the Investigators.
- Protected Disclosure will be appropriately dealt with by the Ethics Counselor or the Chairman of the Audit Committee, as the case may be.

4. Reporting of Protected Disclosure:

- All Employees of the Company are eligible to make Protected Disclosures under the Policy. The Protected Disclosures may be in relation to matters concerning to the Company.

5. Disqualifications:

- While it will be ensured that genuine Whistle Blowers are accorded complete protection from any kind of unfair treatment as herein set out, any abuse of this protection will warrant disciplinary action.
- Whistle Blowers, who make any Protected Disclosures, which have been subsequently found to be *mala fide* or malicious or Whistle Blowers who make 3 or more Protected Disclosures, which have been subsequently found to be frivolous,

Baseless or reported otherwise than in good faith, will be disqualified from reporting further Protected Disclosures under this Policy.

- Protection under this Policy would not mean protection from disciplinary action arising out of false or bogus allegations made by a Whistle Blower knowing it to be false or bogus or with a *mala fide* intention.

6. Procedure:

- All Protected Disclosures concerning any matters should be addressed to the Company and the same should be forwarded to the Chairman of the Audit Committee for investigation.
- The contact details are as under:
 - Mehta Housing Finance Limited
004, Law Garden App.,
Scheme-1, Opp. Law Garden,
Ellisbridge, Ahmedabad,
PIN: 380 006
- Protected Disclosures should preferably be reported in writing so as to ensure a clear understanding of the issues raised and should either be typed or written in a legible handwriting in Gujarati, English, and Hindi or in the regional language of the place of employment of the Whistle Blower. Alternatively, Protected Disclosures can also be reported orally by calling to company's tel no. (079) 2656 5566/67 or mailing us at mehtahousingfinanceltd@gmail.com.
- Protected Disclosures should be factual and not speculative or in the nature of a conclusion, and should contain as much specific information as possible to allow for proper assessment of the nature and extent of the concern.
- For the purpose of providing protection to the Whistle Blower, the Whistle Blower should disclose his/her identity in the covering letter forwarding such Protected Disclosure.

7. Investigation:

- All Protected Disclosures reported under this Policy will be thoroughly investigated by Chairman of the Audit Committee or any person authorized for the time being in force of the Company who will investigate / oversee the investigations under the authorization of the Audit Committee.
- The Chairman of the Audit Committee may at his discretion, consider involving any Investigators for the purpose of investigation.

- The decision to conduct an investigation taken by Chairman of the Audit Committee is by itself not an accusation and is to be treated as a neutral fact-finding process. The outcome of the investigation may not support the conclusion of the Whistle Blower that an improper or unethical act was committed.
- The identity of a Subject and the Whistle Blower will be kept confidential to the extent possible given the legitimate needs of law and the investigation.
- Subjects will normally be informed of the allegations at the outset of a formal investigation and have opportunities for providing their inputs during the investigation.
- Subjects shall have a duty to co-operate with the Chairman of the Audit Committee or any of the Investigators during investigation to the extent that such co-operation will not compromise self-incrimination protections available under the applicable laws.
- Subjects have a right to consult with a person or persons of their choice, other than Investigators and/or members of the Audit Committee and/or the Whistle Blower. Subjects shall be free at any time to engage counsel at their own cost to represent them in the investigation proceedings. However, if the allegations against the subject are not sustainable, then the Company may see reason to reimburse such costs.
- Subjects have a responsibility not to interfere with the investigation. Evidence shall not be withheld, destroyed or tampered with, and witnesses shall not be influenced, coached, threatened or intimidated by the Subjects.
- Unless there are compelling reasons not to do so, Subjects will be given the opportunity to respond to material findings contained in an investigation report. No allegation of wrongdoing against a Subject shall be considered as maintainable unless there is good evidence in support of the allegation.
- Subjects have a right to be informed of the outcome of the investigation. If allegations are not sustained, the Subject should be consulted as to whether public disclosure of the investigation results would be in the best interest of the Subject and the Company.
- The investigation shall be completed normally within 45 days of the receipt of the Protected Disclosure.

8. Protection:

- No unfair treatment will be meted out to a Whistle Blower by virtue of his/her having reported a Protected Disclosure under this Policy. The Company, as a policy, condemns any kind of discrimination, harassment, victimization or any other unfair employment practice being adopted against Whistle Blowers. Complete protection will, therefore, be given to Whistle Blowers against any unfair practice like retaliation, threat or intimidation of termination/suspension of service, disciplinary action, transfer, demotion, refusal of promotion, or the like including any direct or indirect use of authority to obstruct the Whistle Blower's right to continue to perform his duties/functions including making further Protected Disclosure. The Company will take steps to minimize difficulties, which the Whistle Blower may experience as a result of making the Protected Disclosure.
- A Whistle Blower may report any violation of the above clause to the Chairman of the Audit Committee, who shall investigate into the same and recommend suitable action to the management.
- The identity of the Whistle Blower shall be kept confidential to the extent possible and permitted under law.
- Any other Employee assisting in the said investigation shall also be protected to the same extent as the Whistle Blower.

9. Investigators:

- Investigators are required to conduct a process towards fact-finding and analysis. Investigators shall derive their authority and access rights from the Audit Committee when acting within the course and scope of their investigation.
- Technical and other resources may be drawn upon as necessary to augment the investigation. All Investigators shall be independent and unbiased both in fact and as perceived. Investigators have a duty of fairness, objectivity, thoroughness, ethical behavior, and observance of legal and professional standards.
- Investigations will be launched only after a preliminary review by the Chairman of the Audit Committee, as the case may be, which establishes that:
 - the alleged act constitutes an improper or unethical activity or conduct, and
 - The allegation is supported by information specific enough to be investigated or in cases where the allegation is not supported by specific information, it is felt that the concerned matter is worthy of management review. Provided that such investigation should not be undertaken as an investigation of an improper or unethical activity or conduct.

10. Decision:

If an investigation leads the Chairman of the Audit Committee to conclude that an improper or unethical act has been committed, the Chairman of the Audit Committee shall recommend to the management of the Company to take such disciplinary or corrective action as the Chairman of the Audit Committee may deem fit. It is clarified that any disciplinary or corrective action initiated against the Subject as a result of the findings of an investigation pursuant to this Policy shall adhere to the applicable personnel or staff conduct and disciplinary procedures.

11. Retention of documents:

All Protected Disclosures in writing or documented along with the results of investigation relating thereto shall be retained by the Company for a minimum period of seven years.

12. Amendment:

The Company reserves its right to amend or modify this Policy in whole or in part, at any time without assigning any reason whatsoever. However, no such amendment or modification will be binding on the Employees unless the same is notified to the Employees in writing.